

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/312,073	05/13/1999	MINORU USUI	448563/163	2072	
26610 75	590 10/13/2006		EXAMINER		
STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P		
180 MAIDEN LANE NEW YORK, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
112111111111111111111111111111111111111			2863		
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/12/200	DATE MAIL ED: 10/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/312,073	USUI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael P. Nghiem	2863				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S	Sentember 2006					
2a)□	•	s action is non-final.					
	,—						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.						
*	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖾	Claim(s) 11-15,17-23,36-39,42-47,58,60-65,68-73,83-86,92,93,95-101,103 and 106-133 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>48,52,54,57 and 87</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	·					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	•						
Attachmen	Ne)						
	u(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8-14-2006.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate. <u>20061010</u> .				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 11-15,17-23,36-39,42-58,60-65,68-73,83-90,92,93,95-101,103 and 106-133.

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed on September 11, 2006 has been acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on August 14, 2006 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the Japanese Office Action is not provided with an English translation. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 48, 52, 54, 57, and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 57 and 87, how can removing the film create an air communication port to the atmosphere? Does the applicant mean creating air communication to the atmosphere via an air communication port. The air communication port should have already existed.

Claim 48, the recessed port does not communicate with the air communication port (see Figs. 9's).

Claim 52, the engaging recess (60) does not have the capacity to receive gas escaped from the ink cartridge. Rather, it is the recess (145) that have the capacity to receive gas.

Claim 54, how can the recess be completely and partially covered at the same time?

The remaining claims are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 48-57 and 87 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 11-15, 17-23, 36-39, 42-47, 58, 60-65, 68-73, 83-86, 92, 93, 95-101, 103, and 106-133 are allowed.

Reasons For Allowance

Please refer to Applicant's remarks filed on September 11, 2006 and previous reasons for allowance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H.

Art Unit: 2863

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

October 10, 2006